# Manchester Airport Accessibility Forum Meeting Minutes

Date: 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2024

Location: Hilton Double tree & Remote Participation

A recording of this meeting session has been archived. A brief summary of key points is documented below and we'd like to thank participants for all the constructive dialogue throughout the 2 hour session.

# Attendees

Charles van Berckel	Chairman – Independent	Alison Loose	MAG – Independent Participant
Ed Kibblewhite	PRM Contract Manager - MAG	Steve Kane	Airport User
Matt Austin	Special Assistance Experience Lead - MAG	Emma Roberts	National Autistic Society
Jennifer Milner	Consumer Enforcement - CAA	Chris Bright	JDRF
Michelle Baxter	General Manager - ABM	Jessica Luke	Guide Dogs
Donna Platt	Contract Manager – ABM	Jacob Luke	Guide Dogs
Samantha Saunders	Head of Assisted Services - ABM	David Lodder	MS Society
lsabella Mcquillan	MAG - Independent Participant	Kelly Barton	Thomas Pocklington Trust
Lisa Birtles	Deaf Support Network	Louise Hughes	Head of Customer Communications - MAG
Brian Cadwallader	Deaf Support Network	Julie Hemmings	Airport User
Jon O'Brien	Training Manager - ABM		

# Apologies

Jen Byrne-Smith – T1 Director, MAG Ryan Mclearnon – Security Technical Training Manager, MAG James Freemantle – Manager, Consumer Enforcement, CAA Gill Reeder – Interim CEO, DSN

# Agenda

- o Introductions
- Introduction to the CAA and ABM
- o Overview of the refreshed Accessibility Forum & strategic priorities
- o Review of recent service performance
- o Review of recent customer feedback
- o Group Consultation
  - Website content including AccessAble
  - Wheelshare initiative
- o Upcoming workstreams
- o AOB

#### Recent Service Performance

The group was presented with this table of recent regulatory performance results.

	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	What's This?		
PRM Volume	50,334	37,220	38,282	Total number of assistance requests in the month. This figure includes 'No Shows'		
Penetration Rate	1.92% (1.79% 2022)	2.00% (1.90% 2022)	1.89% (1.85% 2022)	The percentage of total passengers who requested assistance		
Pre-notification Rate	70.32%	67.59%	70.00%	The percentage of PRMs with notification requests received by the airport more than 36 hours prior to the time of their flight (includes arriving and departing flights)		
		Departing	Passengers	3		
Pre-notified Departing PRMs <30 mins	99.37%	99.82%	99.37%	The percentage of departing PRMs notified to the airport more than 36 hours before STD met by the assistance team within 30 minutes of arrival at the airport.		
Non pre-notified Departing PRMs <45 mins	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	The percentage of departing PRMs notified to the airport less than 36 hours before STD met by the assistance team within 45 minutes of arrival at the airport.		
	Arriving Passengers					
Pre-notified PRMs <20 mins	99.73%	99.96%		The percentage of arriving PRMs notified to the airport more than 36 hours before STA met by assistance at the arrival gate within 20 mins of the aircraft arriving on stand.		
Non pre-notified PRMs <45 mins	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	The percentage of arriving PRMs notified to the airport less than 36 hours before STA met by assistance at the arrival gate within 45 mins of the aircraft arriving on stand.		

#### Recent Performance Key Points & Actions

ltem	Action	Owner
Steve – AENA (operator of Spanish airports) allows	Review of AENA's	MAG – EK
direct booking of assistance rather than through airline /	process to be	/ MA
tour operators. Ed explained the standardisation of	undertaken by MAG.	
current process and limitations in consistency of the	Update to be provided	
information transfer by allowing direct booking.	in February newsletter.	

#### Recent Customer Feedback

The group was presented with recent PRM survey results. Matt explained the purpose of the survey and distribution channels (posters, business cards, on website and customers offered a link by e-mail on registration).

DEPARTURES	<b>T1</b>	TO	то	OVERALL			
	T1 47	T2 29	T3 25	OVERALL 102			
Responses			3.78	3.85		TOTAL	
Experience in Reception Point	3.96	3.58			SURVEY SOURCE		HARE
Assistance Boarding Flight	3.78	3.70	3.88	3.81	Staff Member gave me a card	27	24.8%
Friendliness of Staff	4.02	3.96	4.09	4.06	Manchester Airport Website	7	6.4%
Overall Departure Experience	4.00	3.77	3.91	3.94	Poster in the Airport	20	18.3%
					l received an email	25	22.9%
ARRIVALS	11	T2	T3	OVERALL	Other	9	8.3%
Responses	35	19	15	73			
Disembarkation	3.32	3.58	3.36	3.50			
Assistance from Gate to Immigration	3.46	3.80	3.70	3.60			
Assistance in baggage reclaim	3.27	3.90	3.38	3.49	October	202	2
Friendliness of Staff	3.44	4.07	3.64	3.73	Ociobei	ZUZ	J
Overall Arrival Experience	3.36	3.80	3.18	3.48			
Overall	3.68	3.78	3.55	3.75			
Responses	82	48	40	109			
	01						
DEPARTURES	T1	T2	T3	OVERALL			
Responses	41	55	36	135			
Experience in Reception Point	4.05	4.22	4.29	4.19	SURVEY SOURCE		SHARE
Assistance Boarding Flight	4.09	4.25	4.31	4.21	Staff Member gave me a card	60	40.5%
Friendliness of Staff	4.13	4.43	4.52	4.37	Manchester Airport Website	11	7.4%
Overall Departure Experience	4.03	4.29	4.45	4.25	Poster in the Airport	12	8.1%
· · ·					I received an email	33	22.3%
ARRIVALS	T1	T2	T3	OVERALL	Other	9	6.1%
Responses	29	41	28	104			
Disembarkation	4.19	4.36	4.20	4.22			
Assistance from Gate to Immigration	4.05	4.60	4.43	4.35			
Assistance in baggage reclaim	4.06	4.52	4.50	4.35	Neurope		22
Friendliness of Staff	4.18	4.62	4.48	4.42	Novembe	er ZU.	23
Overall Arrival Experience	4.05	4.58	4.33	4.29			
Overall	4.04	4.44	4.39	4.27			
Responses	70	96	64	148			
		1					
DEPARTURES	TI	T2	Т3	OVERALL			
Responses	30	55	13	99			
Experience in Reception Point		4.14	4.08	4.19	SURVEY SOURCE	TOTAL S	SHARE
	4.31	4.14					55.8%
Assistance Boarding Flight	4.31		4.27	4.03	Staff Member gave me a card	63	001070
Assistance Boarding Flight Friendliness of Staff	3.83	4.00	4.27	4.03	Staff Member gave me a card Manchester Airport Website		7 1%
Friendliness of Staff	3.83 4.52	4.00 4.25	4.42	4.36	Manchester Airport Website	8	7.1%
	3.83	4.00			Manchester Airport Website Poster in the Airport	8 16	14.2%
Friendliness of Staff Overall Departure Experience	3.83 4.52 4.33	4.00 4.25 4.14	4.42 4.25	4.36 4.23	Manchester Airport Website Poster in the Airport I received an email	8 16 11	14.2% 9.7%
Friendliness of Staff Overall Departure Experience ARRIVALS	3.83 4.52 4.33	4.00 4.25 4.14	4.42 4.25 T3	4.36 4.23 OVERALL	Manchester Airport Website Poster in the Airport	8 16	14.2% 9.7%
Friendliness of Staff Overall Departure Experience ARRIVALS Responses	3.83 4.52 4.33 T1 26	4.00 4.25 4.14 T2 35	4.42 4.25 T3 8	4.36 4.23 OVERALL 72	Manchester Airport Website Poster in the Airport I received an email	8 16 11	14.2% 9.7%
Friendliness of Staff Overall Departure Experience ARRIVALS Responses Disembarkation	3.83 4.52 4.33 T1 26 4.29	4.00 4.25 4.14 T2 35 4.00	4.42 4.25 T3 8 5.00	4.36 4.23 OVERALL 72 4.25	Manchester Airport Website Poster in the Airport I received an email	8 16 11	14.2% 9.7%
Friendliness of Staff Overall Departure Experience ARRIVALS Responses Disembarkation Assistance from Gate to Immigration	3.83 4.52 4.33 T1 26 4.29 4.40	4.00 4.25 4.14 72 35 4.00 3.90	4.42 4.25 T3 8 5.00 5.00	4.36 4.23 OVERALL 72 4.25 4.26	Manchester Airport Website Poster in the Airport I received an email Other	8 16 11 4	14.2% 9.7% 3.5%
Friendliness of Staff Overall Departure Experience ARRIVALS Responses Disembarkation Assistance from Gate to Immigration Assistance in baggage reclaim	3.83 4.52 4.33 T1 26 4.29	4.00 4.25 4.14 72 35 4.00 3.90 4.19	4.42 4.25 T3 8 5.00 5.00 5.00	4.36 4.23 OVERALL 72 4.25 4.26 4.44	Manchester Airport Website Poster in the Airport I received an email Other	8 16 11 4	14.2% 9.7% 3.5%
Friendliness of Staff Overall Departure Experience ARRIVALS Responses Disembarkation Assistance from Gate to Immigration Assistance in baggage reclaim	3.83 4.52 4.33 T1 26 4.29 4.40	4.00 4.25 4.14 72 35 4.00 3.90	4.42 4.25 T3 8 5.00 5.00	4.36 4.23 OVERALL 72 4.25 4.26	Manchester Airport Website Poster in the Airport I received an email	8 16 11 4	14.2% 9.7% 3.5%
Friendliness of Staff Overall Departure Experience ARRIVALS Responses Disembarkation	3.83 4.52 4.33 T1 26 4.29 4.40 4.44	4.00 4.25 4.14 72 35 4.00 3.90 4.19	4.42 4.25 T3 8 5.00 5.00 5.00	4.36 4.23 OVERALL 72 4.25 4.26 4.44	Manchester Airport Website Poster in the Airport I received an email Other	8 16 11 4	14.2% 9.7% 3.5%
Friendliness of Staff Overall Departure Experience ARRIVALS Responses Disembarkation Assistance from Gate to Immigration Assistance in baggage reclaim Friendliness of Staff	3.83 4.52 4.33 T1 26 4.29 4.40 4.44 4.75	4.00 4.25 4.14 72 35 4.00 3.90 4.19 4.05	4.42 4.25 73 8 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	4.36 4.23 OVERALL 72 4.25 4.26 4.44 4.49	Manchester Airport Website Poster in the Airport I received an email Other	8 16 11 4	14.2% 9.7% 3.5%
Friendliness of Staff Overall Departure Experience ARRIVALS Responses Disembarkation Assistance from Gate to Immigration Assistance in baggage reclaim Friendliness of Staff	3.83 4.52 4.33 T1 26 4.29 4.40 4.44 4.75	4.00 4.25 4.14 72 35 4.00 3.90 4.19 4.05	4.42 4.25 73 8 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	4.36 4.23 OVERALL 72 4.25 4.26 4.44 4.49	Manchester Airport Website Poster in the Airport I received an email Other	8 16 11 4	14.2% 9.7% 3.5%

## Recent Feedback Key Points & Actions

ltem	Action	Owner
Brian & Lisa – Written English doesn't have same	Look at BSL option for	DSN &
structure as BSL.	PRM survey	MAG
Steve – Trustpilot reviews, positive and negative, go	Consider opportunities	MAG - LH
unacknowledged by Manchester Airport. Louise	to recognise customer	
explained that Trustpilot isn't currently monitored as	feedback on other	
standard, Facebook and Twitter channels are.	channels.	
Customers raising a claim often come directly via the		
website.		
Jessica – People with sight impairment may not see	Continue encouraging	ABM
posters promoting survey and during the last trip Jessica	concierge staff to offer	
was not given the e-mailed survey link option.	e-mailed survey link.	
Kelly – Receiving an SMS would be a good option. Ed	Include this in	MAG – EK
advised that Whatsapp is being considered for general	Whatsapp business	/ LH
customer comms and could provide this solution.	case	
Ed – Response rates remain low proportionately but	Support awareness of	All
much higher than last year prior to a relaunch. Jen	this survey to help	
confirmed that Manchester's response rate for this	increase response rates	
survey was at the higher end compared to other UK		
airports. Ed asked the group to help raise awareness of		
this survey for future travel feedback.		

### Group Consultation – Website redevelopment & content

Louise provided an introduction to MAG's group-wide redevelopment of the existing website.

# Website Redevelopment Key Points & Actions

Item	Action	Owner
Ed – Asked the group to review the Assisted Travel	Return feedback using	All
section of the website including the AccessAble third	the short survey form	
party information and provide feedback.	that has been linked	
	separately.	
Charles – Having the link to accessibility information on	Promote / link to	MAG
the homepage / banner is critical. Steve added that the	assistance information	
AENA website does this well. Louise advised that this is	on front page	
already a priority on the new website.		
Jen – The CAA commissioned an independent review of	The report can be	
airline website accessibility which may be of interest to	found here	
the group.		
Alison – I've worked at the airport and I can't find my		
way around the AccessAble website. Charles offered to		
engage with AccessAble to understand what the original		
scope was.		

#### Group Consultation – Wheelshare Initiative

The group was given a short presentation about a self-vending, manual wheelchair initiative to give customers another option to mobilise to / from the rail station or between terminals.

#### Wheelshare Initiative Key Points & Actions

ltem	Action	Owner
Alison – Credit / payment cards will likely put people		
off. Number of users this would help is surely very low.		
Brian – 34% increase in 65-85 year olds over the next		
20 years. Challenge is getting to the building from car		
parks. Ed advised ABM's assistance from help points or		
car parks would remain in place. David suggested that		
elderly would not necessarily be able to use these chairs		
as they can be heavy to push.		
Charles – The cost of this solution would probably be		
better put into other aspects of the infrastructure or		
ABM's operation.		
Steve – This has been in NHS sites for a while but		
doesn't work well. Wheelchairs are regularly		
abandoned. This could cause issues with customers		
getting charged the deposit. Users will be cautious		
about putting a card in.		
David – Introducing additional injury risks including		
finger entrapment from larger wheels if user isn't used to		
this type of wheelchair, falling out, brakes not being		
deployed. Group recognised that an injury / accident		
would undermine the system. It's a good system on		
paper but in reality it probably won't be.		
Anwar – Sometimes there are large groups self-		
mobilising using ABM wheelchairs who this might		
benefit		
Ed – Requested group feedback via a survey form and	Group to respond to	All
to be honest. Project is at a critical decision point and it	the short feedback	
needs to be fit for purpose.	survey.	

#### Any Other Business

Item	Action	Owner
Ed – Try The Airport Experience now available to book	Support promotion of	All
online. Requested participants support in promoting this.	these tours, <u>found here</u>	
David – T2 security last summer was a shambles. One	Feedback to Security	MAG - EK
officer using a stick to point customers to security lanes,	team re: process and	
normal assistance lane out of service and PRMs with	prioritisation.	
ABM overtaking non-escorted PRMs. Steve echoed this		
experience and frustrations. Ed advised security teams		
would have been encouraged to reduce ABM dwell time		
in these peak months but acknowledged the perception		
of others affected. Ed highlighted the new security		
equipment currently being installed will help reduce wait		
and processing times for all customers this summer.		

Alison – Not just security or ABM assistance that's important to understand but also where to heat non-solid foods.	MAG to review food reheating options e.g. public microwave	MAG – EK / MA
Jessica – Guide Dog handling at Security is often inconsistent. It's not clear what happens with harness, scanning of the dog etc. Ed agreed to confirm the process and also add it to the website.	Confirm assistance dog process at outbound security & publish on website.	MAG - EK
Steve – Lack of dedicated disabled seating in T2 main departures area and space around food & beverage concession tables.	Additional seating to be considered & engagement with F&B team to create dedicated wheelchair spaces at tables.	MAG – EK, MA
Lisa – Security process can be difficult as she requires good eye contact and to watch everything closely but it can make officers suspicious. Deaf culture also involves physical tapping which isn't permitted. Ed shared his observations on a recent walkthrough with Lisa of how security officers engaged with Lisa and suggested an icon at interaction points for people to touch to indicate hearing impairment without requiring a sunflower lanyard.	Feedback / review security training for deaf awareness & look to deploy 'touch to tell' icons.	MAG – EK, RM
<b>Charles</b> – Thanked the group for their input and advised he'll contact members separately for more understand of their roles and opportunities for the group.	Engage with members independently from MAG & ABM	Charles

- End -